



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/050,796	03/30/1998	MICHAEL SUTTON	16529-2-2US	7265	
41434	7590 03/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATTON BOGGS LLP 2550 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1350		LEE, RICHARD J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. 1	`
A	۲	\
V	5	_ \

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/050,796	SUTTON, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard Lee	2613		

	l'i . <u> </u>							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Richard Lee	2613						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a)								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a					
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appel was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per per section.	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	is of the date of filing	the Notice of					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL -324)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 7-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected. 1-3, 3, 7-10, 72-14, 70-70, 20-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
	,	Richard Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613	~					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed changes to claim 1, and newly proposed claims 30-38 raise new issues.